

1 ► Accelerated Gradient Descent

Prof. D. Kressner
 M. Steinlechner

- a) We consider the overdetermined linear system of equations $A\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b}$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ a matrix with full column rank, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ the unknown solution, and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes unknown noise. The least squares estimator for the unknown solution is then given by

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p} f(\mathbf{x}), \quad \text{with } f(\mathbf{x}) := \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p} \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2.$$

Show that f is a μ -strongly convex function with μ given by the smallest eigenvalue of $A^T A$. Furthermore, show that the gradient of f is Lipschitz continuous with the smallest Lipschitz constant L given by the largest eigenvalue of $A^T A$.

- b) Implement Algorithm 4.28, *Accelerated Gradient Descent*. Use the provided template on the lecture homepage, `AGD.m`
- c) We will now investigate the convergence rates of standard Gradient Descent (GD) and Accelerated Gradient Descent (AGD) when applied to the cost function f . An implementation of GD is available on the lecture homepage, `GD.m`. Using the provided template `ex9problem1.m`, plot the convergence rates of GD for the optimal choice $\alpha = \frac{2}{L+\mu}$ which exploits the strong convexity of the function. Compare to the convergence rate of AGD using your implementation from **b)**. Try out how the convergence speed changes as you use non-optimal values for L and μ .

Compare the obtained results with the convergence rates given by Theorem 4.23 and 4.29 in the lecture notes.

2 ► Binary logistic regression, part 2

In the last exercise sheet, we have seen the *binary logistic regression* approach. Let $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be sampling points and b_i be the associated binary class labels. Then, we want to determine the maximum log-likelihood estimator

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p} f(\mathbf{x}), \quad \text{with } f(\mathbf{x}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(h(b_i \mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x})),$$

where $h(t) = 1/(1 + \exp(t))$ is the sigmoid function. In the last exercise sheet, we have shown that the function is convex, but in general not strongly convex, depending on the data matrix $A = [\mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{a}_n]^T$.

Hence, we introduce a regularization term, such that the minimization problem is now given as

$$x^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p} f_\sigma(\mathbf{x}), \quad \text{with } f_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2.$$

- a) Show that the regularized function f_σ is σ -strongly convex.
- b) Show that the gradient of f_σ is Lipschitz continuous with $L = \frac{1}{4} \|A\|_2 + \sigma$.
- c) We will now test the performance of GD and AGD applied to binary logistic regression. For simplicity, we choose the regularization parameter $\sigma = 1$. As a dataset, we will use the `a4a` dataset from <http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvmtools/datasets/binary.html>, a processed version of the “Adult” dataset. This dataset aims to predict from 4781 samples from the 1994 US census the probability of a person to earn over 50000 USD per year given 123 attributes such as age, sex, education, etc. Complete the template `ex9problem2.m` using your implementation of AGD from the previous question and the prepared dataset `adult.mat` from the lecture homepage.

3 ► Tangent cones

For the following sets Ω and points $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, sketch the tangent cones $T_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x})$, using Definition 5.4 in the lecture notes.

(a) $\mathbf{x} = (0, 1)^T$, $\Omega = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2: (|x_1| + |x_2| - 1)(x_2 - 1) = 0\}$,

(b) $\mathbf{x} = (-1, 0, 0)^T$, $\Omega = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3: x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 1, x_3 = 0\}$.